# Forward $\chi^2$ Divergence Based Variational Importance Sampling

Chengrui Li, Yule Wang, Weihan Li, Anqi Wu @ GaTech CSE

ICLR 2024 [Spotlight]

## Latent variable model

marginal likelihood ← $p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta) = \int p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z};\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}$ → latent variable

observed variable ↙ intractable integral ↓ complete likelihood ↘ parameter set

Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE): $\hat{\theta} = \arg\max_\theta p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)$

## VI and IS, and their biases

| | variational inference (VI) | importance sampling (IS) |
|---|---|---|
| $q(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)$ | variational distribution | proposal distribution |
| target function | $\mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ | $\ln p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)$ |
| numerical estimator | $\widehat{\mathrm{ELBO}}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ | $\ln \hat{p}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ |

$$\mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi) = \mathbb{E}_q[\ln p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z};\theta) - \ln q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)]$$

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ELBO}}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{K}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left[\ln p\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)};\theta\right) - \ln q\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi\right)\right]$$

samples $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{K} \sim q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)$

$$\ln p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta) = \ln \mathbb{E}_q[p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z};\theta)/q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)]$$

$$\ln \hat{p}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{logsumexp}\left[\ln p\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)};\theta\right) - \ln q\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi\right)\right] - \ln K$$







## VIS as the best way of doing IS

In fact, the effectiveness of the IS estimator is

$$\mathrm{Var}_q[\hat{p}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)] = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)^2}{K}\chi^2(p(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\theta)\|q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)) = \frac{1}{K}\underbrace{\int \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z};\theta)^2}{q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}}_{:=V(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)} - \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)^2}{K}$$

$$\ln \hat{V}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi) = \mathrm{logsumexp}\left[2\ln p\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)};\theta\right) - 2\ln q\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi\right)\right] - \ln K$$




## VIS algorithm

| | VI | variational importance sampling (VIS) |
|---|---|---|
| Sample | $\{\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{K} \sim q(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)$ | |
| E-step | $\min_\phi \mathrm{KL}(q(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)\|p(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\theta)) \to \max_\phi \mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$<br>$\frac{\partial \mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)}{\partial\phi} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\frac{-1}{2K}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left[\ln p\left(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)};\theta\right) - \ln q\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(k)}\|\boldsymbol{x};\phi\right)\right]^2$ | $\min_\phi \chi^2(p(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\theta)\|q(\boldsymbol{z}\|\boldsymbol{x};\phi)) \to \min_\phi \ln V(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$<br>$\frac{\partial \ln V(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)}{\partial\phi} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\frac{1}{2}\ln \hat{V}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ |
| M-step | $\max_\theta \ln p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta) \to \max_\theta \mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$<br>$\frac{\partial \mathrm{ELBO}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)}{\partial\theta} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\widehat{\mathrm{ELBO}}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ | $\max_\theta \ln p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)$<br>$\frac{\partial \ln p(\boldsymbol{x};\theta)}{\partial\theta} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\ln \hat{p}(\boldsymbol{x};\theta,\phi)$ |

## Experiments

### Mixture model: GMM-Bernoulli

$$p(z;\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{4}\pi_i\,\mathcal{N}(z;\mu_i,1^2),\quad p(x|z;\theta) = \mathrm{Bernoulli}(x;\mathrm{logistic}(z))$$
$$q(z|x;\phi) = \mathcal{N}(z;c_x,\sigma_x^2)$$







## VAE on MNIST

$$p(\boldsymbol{z};\theta) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{I}),\quad p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z};\theta) = \mathrm{Bernoulli}(\boldsymbol{x};\mathrm{logistic}(\mathrm{decoder}(\boldsymbol{z})))$$
$$q(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x};\phi) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}),\mathrm{diag}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{x})\right),\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathrm{encoder}(\boldsymbol{x})$$







## Partially observable GLM

A very hard problem since $p(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{z};\theta)$ cannot be explicitly factored as $p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z};\theta)p(\boldsymbol{z};\theta)$.

### 3-Visible-2-hidden synthetic




$$\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}\\ \boldsymbol{z}_{t+1}\end{bmatrix} = \mathrm{Poisson}\left(\boldsymbol{W}\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{x}_t\\ \boldsymbol{z}_t\end{bmatrix} + \boldsymbol{b}\right)$$

$$\theta = \left\{\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathbb{R}^N, \boldsymbol{W}\in\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}\right\}$$










### Retinal ganglion neurons (Pillow & Scott, 2012)







References: [1] Burda et al., arXiv, 2015. [2] Dieng et al., NeurIPS, 2017. [3] Finke & Thiery, arXiv, 2019. [4] Jerfel et al., PMLR, 2018. [5] Domke & Sheldon, NeurIPS, 2021. [6] Su & Chen, Comp. Stat., 2021. [7] Akyildiz & Miguez, Stat. Comp., 2021.